

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.4513 of 2015

Date of Decision.23.07.2015

Panjko Devi and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

Lukhwinder Kaur Duggal and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Vishal Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the revision petition has become infructuous and seeks for dismissal of the same as such.
2. The revision petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

July 23, 2015
Pankaj*