

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.4509 of 2015

Date of decision: 10.08.2015

Atma Ram Makkar

.....Petitioner

versus

Bharat Bhushan Jain alias Bhushan Jain

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Davinder Pal Soni, Advocate for the petitioner

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Impugned in the present revision is the order dated 8.7.2015, passed by the learned Additional Civil Judge, Senior Division, Malout, vide which, request of learned counsel for the petitioner to cross-examine PW1 Bharat Bhushan Jain and PW2 Gopi Chand was declined.

It comes out that these witnesses filed affidavit in examination-in-chief on 29.5.2015 and their cross examination was treated as nil. It is a suit for recovery. No apparent reason is coming out why the counsel did not cross-examine the witnesses. However, the interest of justice requires that the petitioner should be given an opportunity to cross-examine the said witnesses.

Accordingly, the revision is allowed and the petitioner is allowed to cross-examine PW1 Bharat Bhushan Jain and PW2 Gopi

Chand subject to payment of Rs.5,000/- as costs to the opposite party. The expenses of the witnesses shall also be paid by the present petitioner.

10.08.2015

gk

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**