

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.4492 of 2015

Date of Decision.20.07.2015

Pardeep Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

Salochana Devi

.....Respondent

Present: Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. In a petition by landlord seeking for eviction on the ground of personal necessity, the tenant has already filed the written statement and the trial is in progress. It appears that the tenant wanted to file an additional written statement/to amend his written statement to contend that the wife has already running business in joint partnership with her husband and therefore, there cannot be justification for seeking for eviction. Since the trial has commenced, I will not allow for any amendment or additional written statement to be filed at this time but I will give him liberty of recalling PW1 and confronting the landlord with any documentary evidence or any suggestion that she is already running a business and there is any particular legal bar against seeking for eviction in relation to the demised premises. In so doing, the attempt is to see that there is no undue delay in trial and therefore, I dispense with notice to the respondent.

2. The revision petition is disposed of with liberty given above.
If such a permission is sought for recalling the landlord for further cross-examination, the Court shall grant such permission.

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

July 20, 2015
Pankaj*