

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.4489 of 2015

Date of Decision.20.07.2015

Subhash Sharma

.....Petitioner

Versus

Amarjit Singh

.....Respondent

Present: Mohd. Yousuf, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-. -

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. There is no justification for the petitioner to arrive before this Court to complain that the trial Judge was unjustified in closing the evidence. If there was a further evidence to be given and the defendant would have a reasonable justification to point out that the Court could not have closed the evidence, he was bound to resorting an application before the Court itself and seeking for reopening the case and permission for continuing the evidence. Such power exists with the Court as per the proposition laid down by the Supreme Court and extracted in a judgment of this Court in Santosh Kumar Berry Vs. Nirmala Devi and others 2013(1) PLR 404.

2. The revision petition is dismissed but the petitioner will be at liberty to apply to the Court below for appropriate orders.

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

July 20, 2015
Pankaj*