

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.4470 of 2015

Date of Decision.17.07.2015

Kundan Lal and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

Mahant Janki Dass Chela Mahant Garib Dass Chela Mahant Uttam Dass

.....Respondent

Present: Mr. Vijay Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is unnecessarily before this Court. He could have filed an application under Order 8 Rule 9 CPC to controvert the pleadings which had been brought through the rejoinder. The petitioner will have such a liberty.

2. The revision petition is disposed of with such a liberty.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

July 17, 2015
Pankaj*