

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CM-25331-CII-2015 &
CR No.429 of 2012(O&M)
Date of decision: 04.12.2015

Chandra

... Petitioner

Versus

Satish Kumar

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Ram Bilas Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Kul Bhushan Sharma, Advocate
for the respondent.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the parties submit that as the dispute between the parties stands amicably resolved, nothing substantive survives in the petition and, the same be dismissed as having become infructuous.

That being so, the application is allowed and the civil revision is taken on board. And, the same is dismissed as having become infructuous.

December 4, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge