

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.4453 of 2015

Date of Decision.16.07.2015

Ranjit Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Assistant Executive Engineer and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-. -

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. There is simply no reason for interference with the order passed by the trial Court allowing for a brother whose objections for grant of electricity connection to the plaintiff had prevailed originally. The plaintiff has obtained an interim order during the pendency of the suit against the Electricity Board by securing electricity connection in his name. The 3rd respondent herein, who is the brother of the plaintiff and at whose objection, the plaintiff has suffered originally the disconnection at the ground-floor, is surely an appropriate party to the proceedings for execution, for, the suit which was against denial of electricity connection was literally triggered only by his brother. It is only appropriate that an adjudication for the relief which the plaintiff is asking is brought in the presence of brother who has some objection to be given against the issuance of electricity connection to the plaintiff.

2. I will find no reason for making an intervention with the order impugned. The civil revision is dismissed.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

**July 16, 2015
Pankaj***