

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.4446 of 2015

Date of Decision.16.07.2015

Harinder Kumar @ Harjinder Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

Dayawanti and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Tribhawan Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner may make fervent pleas before the trial Court for quick disposal of the application for setting aside the compromise decree. I will find no error for an interference when the trial Court has exercised its discretion for not granting stay of the decree which is already passed. The decree which is said to be vitiated is only voidable and till it is set aside, it has validity in the eyes of law. I find no extraordinary reason to stop the same.

2. The revision petition is dismissed but with liberty given to the petitioner to approach the trial Court itself for expeditious disposal. If such a prayer is sought, the Court shall give priority to the application and dispose it off in accordance with law.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

July 16, 2015
Pankaj*