

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.4226 of 2005
Date of decision: 09.12.2015

Jaswant Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Tejinderjit Singh

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Jimmy Singla, Advocate for
Mr. CB Goel, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Rahul Sharma, Advocate for the respondent.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Vide order being assailed, dated 01.08.2005, provisional rent was assessed by the Rent Controller, Phagwara. Being aggrieved, the tenant had preferred this petition, which is pending post admission since 2006.

At the very outset, learned counsel for the respondent fairly submits that let the order under challenge, dated 01.08.2005 be set aside, but as the eviction petition is pending since 04.08.2000, the Rent Controller be directed to decide the same within a specified time.

That being so, the order dated 01.08.2005 is set aside. And, in the wake of the fact that the eviction petition was filed as back as in the year 2000, the Rent Controller,

Phagwara, is directed to decide the same within a period of six months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

Revision petition is accordingly disposed of in the above terms.

December 9, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge