

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.4155 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision:01.09.2015

Smt. Santosh and another ... Petitioners

versus

Smt. Kamlesh and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr.Vinod Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Pankaj Maini, Advocate,
for the caveator-respondents.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

The plaintiff who has a decree for an injunction ought to be empowered with police help if the decree holder requires such a relief so long as the decree is not suspended or stayed. The remedy opted by the decree holder was well within law. The provision under Order 21 Rule 32 CPC providing for arrest or attachment does not exclude the power of a court to grant police assistance if the decree holder makes such a request. It was rightly granted and there is no scope for interference. Revision petition is dismissed.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

01.09.2015
sanjeev