

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.4426 of 2015

Date of Decision.16.07.2015

Harpreet Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Raj Kumari and another

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Viney Puri, Advocate for
Mr. D.K. Bhatti, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. It is an unnecessary revision petition that has been brought before this Court. If the defendant could not bring his evidence on the day when it was posted and the Court had closed the evidence, the party, who is aggrieved, ought to file an application before the very same Court if he had justifiable reasons for non-production of his evidence. If such a plea is made, the Court below will consider the grounds given and pass appropriate orders of either allowing for the defence evidence to be given on such terms as it think fit or may decline to do so. The procedure for such an exercise has been set forth by this Court in *Santosh Kumar Berry Vs. Nirmala Devi and others 2013 (1) PLR 404.*

2. The revision petition is disposed of but with the above observation and liberty.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

July 16, 2015
Pankaj*