

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.4288 of 2013

Date of decision:27.01.2015

Neeta Devi

....Petitioner

Versus

Sushma & others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mohd.Shameem, Advocate, for
Mr.Sandeep Moudgil, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Akshay Jindal, Advocate, for respondents No.2 to 5.

G.S.Sandhawalialia J.(Oral)

Counsel for respondents No.2 to 5 points out that as the suit itself has been withdrawn and the present revision petition has been rendered infructuous.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that he is not aware of the same.

Accordingly, keeping in view the statement made by counsel for respondents No.2 to 5, the present revision petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to revive the matter, in case the lis still subsists.

27.01.2015

sailesh

(G.S.SANDHAWALIA)

JUDGE