

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.4108 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 06.01.2015

Amarjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Veena Datta and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.P. NAGRATH

1. *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?*

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Prateek Gupta, Advocate
for the respondents.

R.P. Nagrath, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has invoked the revisional jurisdiction of this Court under Article 227 of the Constitution of India seeking to set aside the order dated 27.05.2014 (Annexure P-7) passed by the Executing Court whereby application filed by the petitioner under Order XXI Rule 26 of the Code of Civil Procedure has been dismissed.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner would deposit the arrears of rent at the rate of ₹ 10,000/- per month as directed by this Court on 11.06.2014 when notice of motion was

issued to the respondent/landlord, before the Appellate Authority by 07.02.2015 and the arrears would include upto date payment at the same rate.

3. The respondent/landlord would be able to withdraw the amount already deposited with the Executing Court on furnishing surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Executing Court. The balance amount of arrears be also deposited by 07.02.2015. The petitioner is also directed to keep on depositing the future rent at the rate of ₹ 10,000/- per month by the 10th of each subsequent.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents on instructions, submits that the application for condonation of delay in filing the appeal has since been allowed by the Appellate Authority. If that be so, the Appellate Authority is directed to dispose of the main appeal within a period of five months.

6. The petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

06.01.2015
sk

(R.P. Nagrath)
Judge