

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.4359 of 2015

Date of Decision.20.07.2015

Hawaladar s/o late Sh. Jhagru Parshad

.....Petitioner

Versus

Firangi Lal and another

.....Respondent

Present: Mr. Palvinder Singh Sarna, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. There is no scope for interference in an order when all that the petitioner was trying to file a written statement, the process which was not conceived or permitted in the order passed by the trial Court while remanding the order. The defendant says that he is adopting the written statement filed already by the 2nd defendant. The petitioner is not entitled to say anything more than that he is adopting the written statement filed by the 2nd defendant. He will not be allowed to file any separate written statement. The order of the Court below is confirmed to that extent.

2. The revision petition is disposed of at the stage of admission dispensing with notice to the respondents.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

July 20, 2015
Pankaj*