

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.4597 of 2011 (O&M)

Date of decision: 30.07.2015

M/s RPS Infrastructure Limited

... Petitioner

versus

M/s KST Infrastructure Limited and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. R. Kartikeya, Advocate,
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Manoj Sood, Advocate,
 for the respondents.

K.Kannan, J. (*Oral*)

1. The revision must have been filed against an interim order passed by the District Judge before whom the application was filed under Sections 12 to 15 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act. The application must have been only pressed for final disposal before the District Judge and the petitioner ought not to have preferred a revision against the direction that the Arbitrator will not dispose of the case finally before its own decision. I cannot take a decision of whether the Arbitrator will dispose of the case or not against an interim order passed by the District Judge.

2. The revision is incompetent and disposed of as such.

The petitioner will make his plea about the stage of proceedings of

the Arbitrator before the District Judge and argue for dismissal of the petition in the manner that he canvasses before this court. The court will consider the same on its merit and pass appropriate orders.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

30.07.2015
sanjeev