

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

VARINDER SINGH
2015.07.13 10:18
Attest to the accuracy and integrity
of this document
Punjab & Haryana High Court at
Chandigarh

CR No. 4295 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision : 9.7.2015

Brahm Prakash Manav

.. Petitioner

versus

Pradeep Kumar

.. Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal

Present: Mr. J. P. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

Challenge in the present petition is to the order dated 13.5.2015 passed by the learned court below whereby the application filed by the petitioner for determination of age of the ink on the pronote and receipt, was declined.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that witnesses on the pronote had ante dated their signatures and dates, hence, the age of the ink is required to be verified to ascertain the genuineness of the documents. However, he could not dispute the fact that this Court in Yash Pal vs Kartar Singh 2003 (3) Latest Judicial Reports 160 and Madras High Court in S. Gopal vs D. Balachandran 2008(3) Latest Judicial Reports 80, as referred to by learned Court below, it was opined that age of the ink over any document cannot be determined by way of chemical examination. Once the order passed by the learned court below is in conformity with judgment of this Court, in my opinion, there is no illegality in the order passed by the learned Court below.

The petition stands dismissed.

9.7.2015
vs

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge