

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.4292 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 09.07.2015

Khushal Bawa

.... Petitioner

versus

Tarawanti (since deceased) through her LR

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. N.K. Malhotra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

The rent note for ₹1,452/- in favour of landlady-petitioner is admitted in the written statement. The plaintiff wanted to give additional evidence by production of a rent note executed in favour of the husband. That was declined. I asked the counsel about the relevance of the document executed in favour of the husband earlier and whether there was any plea by him that the rent note in favour of the wife is incompetent or cannot be acted upon. He has no such defence. If there is no relevance, the petitioner cannot have the luxury of bringing documents which have no bearing to the adjudication that is necessary before the Rent Controller. I do not find any scope for intervention in revision. Revision petition is dismissed.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

09.07.2015

sanjeev