

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.4291 of 2015

Date of decision: 09.07.2015

Lajwanti Saini and others

.... Petitioners

versus

Ramesh Kumar and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Sachin Gupta Ladwa, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No.
2. To be referred to the reporters or not ?No.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ? No.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. There is simply no justification for the petitioner to unnecessarily prolong the trial of motor accident claims case. If he is relying for proof of the status of the deceased-husband, bank remittances and the credit balances which were available with the State Bank of India, the petitioner is entitled to make reliance on the bank accounts and not unnecessarily waste the time of the witness and the court by summoning the witness from the bank to prove such fact. I direct the Tribunal to receive the documents which are already filed and dispense with any other evidence. The court will

act on the argument made by the party that the periodical remittances must be taken as proving the status. The power of the court to set a summary procedure is available under Section 169 of the Motor Vehicles Act and the court will not unnecessarily allow its time to be wasted by irreverent attempts to prolong the trial and give irrelevant evidence.

2. Civil revision is disposed of with the above observations.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

09.07.2015
sanjeev