

111

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.4269 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: July 09, 2015**

Brij Bhushan

.....Petitioner

Versus

Surinder Singh Kambo

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. Anil Shukla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SABINA, J

Respondent had filed the petition under Section 13-B of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949 seeking ejectment of the petitioner. The learned Rent Controller vide order dated 27.05.2015 allowed the ejectment petition. Hence, the present petition by the petitioner-tenant.

After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he does not press the revision petition on merits and prayed that the petitioner be granted time to vacate the demised premises upto 31.01.2016 as he was in possession of the premises in question for a number of years and the shop in question was the only source of livelihood of the petitioner.

In view of submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this revision petition is dismissed on merits. However, the petitioner is granted time from today

to vacate the demised premises, i.e. on or before 31.01.2016.

This order shall operate subject to filing of an undertaking by the petitioner in this regard before the learned Rent Controller within 15 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and on making payment of the entire arrears of rent, if any due, within a period of four weeks from today and shall also keep on paying the rent of ensuing months upto 31.01.2016 to the respondent on or before 10th of each calendar month.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

July 09, 2015

m.singh