

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.4258 of 2015

Date of Decision.09.07.2015

Parveen Chadha

.....Petitioner

Versus

Mahavir Singh and another

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Sumeet Goel, Advocate and
Mr. Samir Rathaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-. -

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has been ordered to be evicted by both the Courts below and the counsel while making arguments confines, after a failed attempt to convince me on merits, to seeking for six months' period for vacating the premises. It is a commercial property and considering the fact that the petitioner has been in possession for fairly a long time, I will allow for a period of four months for evicting the premises. The petitioner will give an undertaking to the effect that he will vacate the property within four months and that he will continue to be paying the rent which was being paid before the Court below without any default till the conclusion of the period of four months. The undertaking shall be given within a period of one week failing which the order of eviction will operate *eo instante*.

2. The revision petition is dismissed with time and condition as

stipulated above. If the undertaking is not given as directed, the time for eviction shall stand withdrawn.

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

July 09, 2015
Pankaj*