

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.4257 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : 14.08.2015

Kawaljeet Singh Duggal

...Petitioner

Versus

M/s Rajdhani Flora and Infrastructure Developers Pvt. Ltd. and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Sachin Mittal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Akshay Jindal, Advocate for the respondent No.2.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, Advocate for the respondent No.2.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Challenge in the present petition is to the order dated 08.05.2015 (Annexure P-4), whereby the evidence of the petitioner/plaintiff has been closed.

Mr. Sachin Mittal, learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner submits that petitioner/plaintiff has to examine himself and no other evidence has been led.

The aforementioned submission of learned counsel for the

petitioner is fair, legal and justified.

In order to meet the ends of justice, I deem it appropriate to grant one opportunity to the petitioner/plaintiff to lead evidence, much less, examined himself.

Accordingly, order dated 08.05.2015 (Annexure P-4) is set aside.

The trial Court shall permit the petitioner/plaintiff to lead evidence in aforementioned terms, subject to cost of ₹5000/-.

With the aforementioned observations, the petition stands disposed of.

14.08.2015

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**