

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No. 3976 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: 04.12.2015**

Nachhattar Singh

... Petitioner

versus

Darshan Singh and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Swapanh Shaorey, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Rattan, Advocate for the respondents.

K.Kannan, J.

The defendant who had availed of 3 opportunities for his examination was confronted with the plaintiff by the petitioner that his side must be closed. The court did not close the evidence. The plaintiff is aggrieved and he is in revision petition before the Court.

It is an utter abuse of the process of Court, for, a plaintiff to be complaining only because the Court had granted the time. It ought to be within the exclusive domain of the Court to manage its board and if the Court has allowed the time to be given, the best that the plaintiff can do is to oppose it at the time when the adjournment is granted. There cannot be an application that the side must be closed and no further opportunity must be given to the defendant to offer his evidence.

The application before the Court below was vexatious and the revision petition is all the more so. The revision petition is dismissed with above observations.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

04.12.2015

kv