

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.4226 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : 14.08.2015

Chander Kant

...Petitioner

Versus

Anil Kumar Gupta and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Munish Goel, Advocate for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Challenge in the present petition is to the impugned order dated 15.01.2015 (Annexure P-1), whereby the trial Court has rejected the acceptance of certified copy of documents tendered by the petitioner/plaintiff & directing him to furnish evidence by holding that documents are required to be filed in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that his evidence has been closed, therefore, the procedure as required under law cannot be followed until and unless the opportunity is granted.

Since vide my order dated 14.08.2015 passed in CR No.4225 of

2015, one opportunity to lead evidence has been granted.

Thus, impugned order dated 15.01.2015 (Annexure P-1) is also set aside.

Liberty is granted to lead evidence in accordance with law.

With the aforementioned observation, the present petition is disposed of.

14.08.2015

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**