

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.4225 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : 14.08.2015

Chander Kant

...Petitioner

Versus

Anil Kumar Gupta and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Munish Goel, Advocate for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Challenge in the present petition is to the order dated 13.05.2015 (Annexure P-1), whereby the evidence of the petitioner/plaintiff has been closed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that one opportunity be granted to the petitioner/plaintiff to complete his evidence. Though, suit has been filed in the year 2009 & issues have been framed on 28.05.2012, wherein petitioner/plaintiff had examined himself on 14.02.2013, thereafter suit was also been dismissed.

It appears that the petitioner/plaintiff pursuing the suit leisurely

at his own choice. Be that as it may, in order to advance justice to the parties, I deem it appropriate to grant one opportunity to the petitioner/plaintiff to lead evidence in accordance with law, subject to payment of cost of ₹10,000/-.

Thus, impugned order dated 13.05.2015 (Annexure P-1) is set aside.

With the aforementioned observation, the present petition is disposed of.

14.08.2015

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**