

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

FAO No. 4849 of 2002 (O&M)
Date of decision: October 1, 2015

Davinder Singh

...Appellant

Versus

National Insurance Company and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. SS Swaich, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Mr. Bhupesh Dogra, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

1. The only point involved in this appeal is whether the liability of the insurance company could be limited to ₹6,000/- for third party property damage. Learned counsel appearing for the appellant points out that the copy of the policy exhibited in the court itself revealed that the insured has paid an extra premium of ₹75,000/- for property damage. I have seen through the policy. The liability restricted to ₹6,000/- is erroneous. The right of indemnity for the owner shall avail to the entire amount, as assessed by the Tribunal.

2. The appeal is allowed. The award is modified accordingly. The amount deposited before this Court is permitted to be withdrawn by the appellant.

October 1, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

