

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.4411 of 2011

Date of Decision: February 19, 2015

Amar Surjit Kaushal

.....Petitioner

versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Dr.Bharat Bhushan Parsoon.

Argued by: Mr.Gurcharan Dass, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Puneet Jindal, Sr.Advocate with
Ms.Sakshi, Advocate for the respondent No.1.

* * *

Dr.Bharat Bhushan Parsoon, J.

1. In this revision petition order dated 11.05.2010, whereby execution petition was dismissed as satisfied holding that nothing remains due towards the decree holders in terms of Award dated 21.07.1997 is under challenge.

2. It is claimed that the executing Court neither went into the details of the calculations revealing amount still due to be paid to the petitioner nor had appreciated the facts and attending circumstances in their true perspective.

3. During the course of arguments counsel for the petitioner has referred to the Award, copy of which has been placed on the paper book as Annexure P-3. It is not the certified copy. Counsel for the petitioner has not been able to convince this Court as to how calculations made after signing of the Award by the Arbitrator on 21.07.1997, would form part of the Award when the same are not even signed by the Arbitrator.

4. Counsel for the respondent No.1 has placed on record another copy of the Award which does not contain such calculations on request of counsel for the respondent No.1 is taken on record.

5. Counsel for the petitioner has not been able to satisfy this Court as to how no claim certificate Annexure P-4 had been furnished by the petitioner decree holder to the effect that no further amount was due towards him.

6. Neither any factual nor legal infirmity could be pointed out by the counsel for the petitioner in the impugned order. There is no merit in the revision petition, the same is hence dismissed.

February 19, 2015
anil

(Dr.Bharat Bhushan Parsoon)
JUDGE