

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.3891 of 2014

Date of decision: 07.05.2015

Balwant Singh and another

... Petitioners

versus

Rajender Kumar and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Amit Chaudhary, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. N.K. Manchanda, Advocate,
for respondent No.3.

K.Kannan, J. (*Oral*)

The brother of the deceased who was a bachelor sought for impleadment at the fag end of the trial contending that he has been left out of array of parties. The Motor Accident Claims Tribunal shall be liberal in construing applications for impleadment at the instance of a person claiming to a legal representative. The Tribunal will examine the truth of the contentions of the so-called dependance of the person on the deceased. I set aside the order and direct impleadment as a respondent. He will not be at liberty of reopening of evidence of any of the witnesses who have been already examined but shall be at liberty to give his own evidence on his relationship to the deceased and the dependance which he is

claiming to have on the deceased person. The order impugned is set aside and the civil revision is allowed.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

07.05.2015
sanjeev