

**103 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.4106 of 2015
Date of Decision: September 07, 2015**

Gurpreet Singh

.... Petitioner

vs.

Gurwinder Kaur

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Atul Goel, Advocate for
Mr. Parvez Chugh, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 21.05.2015 (Annexure P-5) passed by learned District Judge, Ferozepur, vide which in a petition filed by the respondent-wife under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 (in short 'the Act'), ₹15,000/- per month as maintenance pendente lite were allowed to the wife from the date of filing of the petition filed under Section 24 of the Act till the disposal of the main petition. ₹15,000/- were also granted on account of litigation expenses.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have also carefully gone through the case file.

A perusal of the file shows that the lower court has taken into consideration the fact that the husband (petitioner herein) belongs to a family having 30 acres of land. It is not denied that the husband himself is having 6 acres of land.

In these circumstances, when the findings and facts have been recorded by the lower court, there is no ground to interfere on the quantum of maintenance awarded in the present proceedings. Therefore, the present revision petition stands dismissed.

However, keeping in view the the fact that it is a matrimonial dispute. The lower court is directed to refer the matter to the ADR for making efforts to reconcile the matter between the parties.

September 07, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE