

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.4095 of 2015

Date of decision : 11.08.2015

Kundan Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Kulvir Singh and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Sarju Puri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Dhami, Advocate for the Caveator-respondent.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Challenge in the present petition is to the order passed by the trial Court, whereby application filed by the petitioner/plaintiff under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 has been dismissed.

It has been submitted that the suit is at the stage of plaintiff's evidence.

Mr. Sarju Puri, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that his client would not be averse if appropriate direction is issued to the trial Court to decide the suit as expeditiously as possible by putting the terms to the parties i.e. by limiting the opportunity of leading

evidence.

The present petition is disposed of with the direction to the trial Court to dispose of the suit as expeditiously as possible within a period of 8 months by limiting the right of leading the evidence in accordance with law to the parties by affording three opportunities each.

11.08.2015

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**