

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

201/5

**Civil Revision No.3920 of 2012
Date of Decision:30.09.2015**

Union of India

....petitioner

Versus

Sudagar Singh and others

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Ms.Ranjana Shahi, Senior Panel Counsel for UOI

Mr.Rajan Bansal, Advocate
for the respondents

ARUN PALLI, J.(ORAL):-

Learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that in the wake of the ratio of law laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Gurpreet Singh Vs. Union of India 2008(2) R.C.R. (Civil) 207***, the order being assailed dated 24.02.2011 (Annexure P1), rendered by Additional District Judge, Bathinda, is not sustainable, and, thus, the same be set aside and the matter be remitted to the Executing Court, Bathinda, to re-decide the issue, in the light of the aforesaid decision.

That being so, the order dated 24.02.2011 (Annexure P1) is set aside. The matter is remitted to the Executing Court to re-decide the issue, in the light of the decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Gurpreet Singh (supra).

The petition stands disposed of.

30.09.2015

neenu

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**