

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.4063 of 2015(O&M)
Date of decision: 05.11.2015

Harmeet Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Treco Consultancy Services Private Limited

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sharan Sethi, Advocate for the respondent.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

The present revision petition has been filed against an order dated 11.05.2015, rendered by the Appellate Authority, Chandigarh, vide which the mesne profits for the demised premises were assessed.

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that during the pendency of this petition, parties have entered into a compromise. And, as a result, the appeal preferred by the petitioner had since been withdrawn, for he has been granted time to vacate the premises by 28.02.2017. It is submitted that nothing substantive survives in this petition and the same be disposed of as having become infructuous.

Ordered, accordingly.

November 5, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge