

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 3768 of 2014 (O/M)  
Date of decision : 25.8.2015

Navroop Kaur and others

..... Revisionists

Versus

Kashmira Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Ms. Amandeep Kaur, Advocate, for,  
Mr. P.K. Gupta, Advocate, for the revisionists.

Mr. Amit Mehta, Advocate, for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.-

-.-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present revision is the order dated 5.4.2014 (Annexure-P-3), passed by the learned Civil Judge (Junior Judge), Phillaur, vide which the application of the present revisionists for directing the plaintiff (respondent herein) to affix proper court fee, was dismissed.

The perusal of the impugned order shows that though in the suit, much higher damages have been assessed, but in the prayer clause, tentative relief has been claimed at Rs. 500/- only.

CR No. 3768 of 2014 (O/M)

The court fee on the said tentative amount has been affixed. It is always the choice of the plaintiff to make tentative claim and the court fee on the basis of said claim. If any higher amount is ultimately decreed, the Court can always ask the plaintiff to pay the court fee on the decretal amount. There is no illegality or infirmity in the impugned order. Hence, the present revision is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)  
JUDGE

25.8.2015  
sjks