

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.4020 of 2015
Date of Decision.01.07.2015**

Ram PhalPetitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

Present: Mr. Amit Kumar Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner seeks to withdraw the civil revision and be given a liberty to move an application under Order 18 Rule 3A CPC for permission to let in evidence after the witnesses were examined. It is stated that the impugned order has been passed when there was no such application. The petitioner will have a liberty of fresh consideration if such application is moved before the Court below and the Court will consider the same without in any way being influenced by the decision already taken.

2. The revision petition is dismissed as withdrawn but with liberty as aforesaid.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

July 01, 2015
Pankaj*