

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.3722 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 28.07.2015

Kulwant Singh

... Petitioner

versus

Sucha Singh and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Bikramjit Aroura, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Singla, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

The plaintiff, who brought an additional document in evidence, was allowed the benefit subject to payment of costs of ₹ 500/-. The grievance of the defendant was that the document which is sought to be produced need not be brought as a matter of rebuttal evidence and it was literally reopening the case. I will not find any serious prejudice to have been caused. I allow the document to be received and will also afford to the defendant right to let in further evidence to contradict the additional evidence which is permitted to be given by the plaintiff. The order passed already is maintained and the revision petition is dismissed but with the liberty to the defendant-petitioner to let in his evidence to contradict the plaintiff's case.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

28.07.2015
sanjeev