

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 3704 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 24.04.2015

Paramjeet

... Petitioner

versus

Ashok Kumar Goyal and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Mohan Singh Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Bajaj, Advocate for respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

K.Kannan, J.

The petition for revision is against the order allowing reception of a document as secondary evidence when the contention was that the original was with the adversary and however could not be produced. The justification for secondary evidence is always made under Section 65 of the Evidence Act. The grievance of the petitioner is that the document filed is only purported to be a draft of sale deed which contains no signatures and cannot be exhibited as evidence. The extent of reliance that is possible on the document being admitted, will be a matter of argument before the Court below and will not be a subject of appraisal by this Court. There is no ground for intervention.

The civil revision petition is dismissed.

24.04.2015

kv

(K.KANNAN)

JUDGE