

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 3689 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 17.11.2015

Dalbir Singh

....Petitioner

versus

M/s Indus Ind Bank Ltd & ors

....Respondents

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.KANNAN

Present: - Mr. Ravinder Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashwani Talwar, Advocate for respondents.

K.KANNAN, J.

The revision is incompetent and adopts the procedure which is untenable. If the grievance of the petitioner is that his property is attached then he is not legally bound by the award as a person who is not a party. The procedure will be to apply for raising of attachment under Order 21 Rule 58 of the Civil Procedure Code. Revision is not the correct remedy against the order of attachment. The petitioner will also be at liberty to file an independent suit to establish his title of the property, if he so chooses.

Revision is disposed of as such with liberty aforesaid.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

17.11.2015

preeti