

113

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.3960 of 2015

Date of decision: June 29, 2015

Om Parkash

.....Petitioner

Versus

Mange Lal and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. J.P. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SABINA, J

Petitioner has filed this petition challenging the order dated 16.01.2015, whereby application moved by the respondent No.1 to examine hand-writing expert, was allowed.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the record available on the file carefully.

Respondent No.1 has filed suit for declaration. When the case was listed for rebuttal evidence of respondent No.1, he moved an application (Annexure P-4) to enable him to examine a hand-writing expert qua documents Exhibit P2 and Exhibit D1. The case of the respondent No.1 was that he had proved documents/agreement Exhibit P2 dated 27.03.2001, which was duly signed/thumb marked by the defendants. However, defendant No.1 had denied his signatures on Exhibit P2 and had tendered in evidence Exhibit D1. Further, the case of the respondent No.1 was that his signatures had been forged on Exhibit D1. In the facts and circumstances of the present case, the learned trial Court rightly allowed the application moved by respondent No.1 to enable him to examine a hand-writing expert qua documents Exhibit P2 and Exhibit D1.

No ground for interference by this Court, is made out.

Dismissed.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

June 29, 2015

mahavir