

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR-364-2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 28.1.2015

Gurmit Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Amanpreet Singh Sidhu

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.P. NAGRATH

1. *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?*

PRESENT: Mr. Arihant Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Dr. Amol Rattan Sidhu, Senior Advocate with
Mr. PS Guliani, Advocate for the respondent.

R.P. NAGRATH, J. (ORAL)

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner withdraws the instant petition but a prayer is made that some concession may be given to the petitioner to vacate the property in question.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits on instructions that the petitioner may be granted time to vacate the demised premises by 31.10.2015.

In view of the above, the instant revision petition is dismissed as withdrawn. The petitioner is granted time to vacate the premises by 31.10.2015, subject to deposit of the up-to-date arrears of

rent with the Rent Controller, at the agreed rate of ₹ 2400/- per month within one month i.e. by 28.2.2015 and also furnish an undertaking before the learned Rent Controller, within 10 days from today i.e. by 9.2.2015, that he shall vacate the premises by 31.10.2015 and pay the entire amount of arrears of rent by 28.2.2015 and also keep on paying the future rent by the 10th of each subsequent month.

Failing to comply with any of these conditions, the respondent would be at liberty to immediately file execution application, apart from initiating proceeding against the petitioner for breach of condition of granting the above concession.

January 28, 2015

rishu

**(R.P. NAGRATH)
JUDGE**