

but only brings about certain observations of the Appellate Court that it has recognized the plaintiff to be the owner of the property. I would find that any observation regarding ownership contrary to the document will not govern the issue and it will be always open for the defendants to contend that the plaintiff cannot treat himself the owner and cannot claim the relief on that basis. Any observation of the Appellate Court relating to title must be seen as irrelevant and not binding on the defendants, for, it is not a suit for declaration but it is only a bare relief for injunction that is sought in the main suit.

4. I do not find any cause for intervention. The impugned orders are maintained and the civil revision is dismissed.

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

July 08, 2015
Pankaj*