IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.3654 of 2005
Date of decision: 19.10.2015

Shanti Devi

... Petitioner

Versus

Kailash Sharma

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Ajay Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Suman Jain, Advocate for the respondent.

***

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

***

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that petitioner Shanti Devi had since passed away and the demised premises has already been sold by her heirs. So much so, the respondent-tenant has even vacated the premises and restored its possession to successor owner. That being so, it is submitted that petition be disposed of as having become infructuous. However, if any dispute, cause of action or interest still survive, legal heirs of the petitioner shall have a liberty to move appropriate application for its restoration and decision of merits.

Ordered, accordingly.

Disposed of as having become infructuous with a liberty as prayed for.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

October 19, 2015
Rajan

RAJAN
2015.10.20 16:34
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
Chandigarh