

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.3651 of 2005
Date of decision: 19.10.2015

Shanti Devi

... Petitioner

Versus

Jamna Dass

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Ajay Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Suman Jain, Advocate for the respondent.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that petitioner Shanti Devi had since passed away and the demised premises has already been sold by her heirs. So much so, the respondent-tenant has even vacated the premises and restored its possession to successor owner. That being so, it is submitted that petition be disposed of as having become infructuous. However, if any dispute, cause of action or interest still survive, legal heirs of the petitioner shall have a liberty to move appropriate application for its restoration and decision of merits.

Ordered, accordingly.

Disposed of as having become infructuous with a liberty as prayed for.

October 19, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge