

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 3536 of 2014 (O/M)
Date of decision : 18.8.2015

Ashok Kumar

..... Revisionist

Versus

Inderjit Singh Rahi and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sandeep K. Sharma, Advocate, for the revisionist.

Mr. Rajeev Joshi, Advocate, for respondents.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present revision is the order dated 17.1.2014 (Annexure-P-8), passed by the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Jalandhar, vide which the application of the present revisionist, seeking permission to give support to the damaged and broken wall shown as 'AB' in the site plan, was dismissed.

During the course of the arguments, it comes out that the present revisionist is living on the first floor. Learned counsel for the revisionist has referred to the inspection report of the architect (Annexure-P-5) as well as photographs (Annexure-P-9), which show that due to removing of door frame, the disputed wall 'AB' may fall.

CR No. 3536 of 2014 (O/M)

Both the learned counsel for the parties have agreed that the plaintiff/revisionist should be allowed to construct the beam to support the wall 'AB', so that it may not obstruct the common passage.

In view of the statement of both the learned counsel for the parties before this Court, the impugned order dated 17.1.2014 (Annexure-P-8), passed by the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Jalandhar, is amended to the effect that the revisionist/plaintiff is allowed to construct the beam to give support to wall 'AB'.

The revision is accordingly disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

18.8.2015
sjks