

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 3702 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of decision: August 27, 2015

Naib Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Mukhtiar Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Binderjit Singh, Advocate,
for respondents No. 1 to 4.

Mr. Bhavyadeep Walia, Advocate
for respondents No. 6 and 7.

Mr. Davinder Kumar, Advocate,
for respondents No. 8 and 9.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondents No. 1 to 4 states that the suit itself has been withdrawn by the plaintiff and the revision petition has become infructuous.

Disposed of as having become infructuous.

August 27, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE