

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.3487 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 31.07.2015

Giano

... Petitioner

versus

Sudhir

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Kulvir Narwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Shekhawat, Advocate for the respondents.

K.Kannan, J.

There is no justification for the petitioner to arrive at this Court to complaint that the Court could not approach the evidence when she was not present. It was open for them to approach the trial Court to give appropriate reasons of why he could not be present and seek for the renewal of the order. If such an application is filed, the Court will consider and pass appropriate orders by following the procedure as set out in Santosh Kumar Berry Vs. Nirmala Devi and others, 2013 (1) PLR 404.

The petition is dismissed but the petitioner will have remedy as aforesaid.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

31.07.2015

kv