

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.3753 of 2015
Date of Decision.28.05.2015**

Darshan Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Amarjit Kaur and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Raman Goklaney, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The application of the defendant, who sought for reopening the side which was closed and for production of evidence, was dismissed. I set aside the impugned order and dispense with notice to make way for quicker disposal of the case on condition that the petitioner pays costs of ₹ 10,000/- to the opposite side for the delay and inconvenience caused to the Court and to the other side. The costs shall be paid within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order. If the amount is not paid as directed, the order already passed will stand.

2. The revision petition is allowed on the above terms.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

May 28, 2015
Pankaj*