

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.12583 of 1993
Date of Decision: March 11, 2015

Gurmail Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr.Jasbir Singh, Advocate,
for Mr.J.S.Maanipur, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Ravi Pratap Singh, AAG, Haryana,
for the State.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the relief sought in the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous as the petitioner has been selected in the Government service.

In view of the statement made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the present writ petition is disposed of as infructuous.

March 11, 2015
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE