

110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.3740 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: July 13, 2015

Pardeep Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

Laxmi Narain and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. Pardeep Kumar, petitioner in person with
Mr. Sanjay Mittal, Advocate.

SABINA, J

Respondent No.1 had filed the petition under Section 13 of the Haryana Urban (Control of Rent and Eviction) Act, 1973 seeking ejectment of the petitioner. The learned Rent Controller vide order dated 28.08.2012 allowed the ejectment petition. The said order was upheld by the Appellate Authority vide judgment dated 25.03.2015. Hence, the present petition by the petitioner-tenant.

After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he does not press the revision petition on merits and prayed that the petitioner be granted time to vacate the demised premises upto 31.01.2016 as he was in possession of the premises in question for a number of years and the shop in question was the only source of livelihood of the petitioner.

In view of submissions made by the learned

counsel for the petitioner, this revision petition is dismissed on merits. However, the petitioner is granted time from today to vacate the demised premises, i.e. on or before 31.01.2016. This order shall operate subject to filing of an undertaking by the petitioner in this regard before the learned Rent Controller within 15 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and on making payment of the entire arrears of rent, if any due, within a period of four weeks from today and shall also keep on paying the rent of ensuing months upto 31.01.2016 to the respondent on or before 10th of each calendar month.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

July 13, 2015

m.singh