

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 3735 of 2015 (O/M)
Date of decision : 1.9.2015

Gurnam Singh

..... Revisionist

Versus

Dalwara Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vishwajit Bedi, Advocate, for the revisionist.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

:-

:-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present revision is the order dated 17.3.2015 (Annexure-P-1), passed by the learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Nabha, vide which the objections of the revisionist were dismissed.

Heard learned counsel for the revisionist.

An ex-parte decree was passed against the present revisionist. The revisionist has already filed an application under Order 9 Rule 13 of Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 ('CPC'). He sought stay from the Executing Court, which was refused on the

CR No. 3735 of 2015 (O/M)

ground that the revisionist should move the Court where application under Order 9 Rule 13 CPC is pending. There is no error in the said order.

So far as the application under Order 21 Rule 66 CPC is concerned, the revisionist has already been given opportunity to file reply in the said application and the sale warrants were recalled. It being so, there is no illegality or infirmity in the impugned order.

The present revision is accordingly dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

1.9.2015
sjks