

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

Civil Revision No. 372 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 26.3.2015.

Birbal Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

Kulwant Rai

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. R.S.Bajaj, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Malkeet Singh, Advocate
for the respondent.

SABINA, J.

Respondent had filed the petition under Section 13 of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949 seeking ejectment of the petitioner. The learned Rent Controller vide order dated 30.11.2013 allowed the ejectment petition. The said order was upheld by the Appellate Authority vide judgment dated 16.12.2014. Hence, the present petition by the petitioner-tenant.

After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he does not press the revision petition on merits and prayed that the petitioner be granted six months time to vacate the demised premises as he was in possession of the premises in question for a number of years and the shop in question was the only source of livelihood of the petitioner.

The said request has not been opposed by the learned counsel for the respondent.

In view of submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties, this revision petition is dismissed on merits. However, the petitioner is granted six months time from today to vacate the demised premises i.e. on or before 30.9.2015. This order shall operate subject to filing of an undertaking by the petitioner in this regard before the learned Rent Controller within 15 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and on making payment of rent/mesne profits as assessed by the Appellate Court, if any due, within a period of 4 weeks from today and shall also keep on paying the rent/mesne profits of ensuing months up to 30.9.2015 to the respondent on or before 10th of each calendar month.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

**March 26, 2015
Gurpreet**