

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.3439 of 2014(O&M)
Date of decision: 18.09.2015

Ajay Kumar and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Sudarshna Rani

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Shekhar Verma, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Naresh Gopal Sharma, Advocate
for the respondent.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

At the very outset, learned senior counsel for the petitioners fairly submits that since the order of eviction has already been executed and the possession had been obtained by the respondent-landlord, nothing substantive survives in this petition. And the same be disposed of as having become infructuous.

Ordered, accordingly.

September 18, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge